

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 14.5.19	Surveyor: C Dawson
----------------------	---------------------------

Tree details	
TPO Ref:	Tree Group No: T1 Species: oak
Owner (if known):	
Location: Thorpe House, 149. Herwich Rd. Littleclacton	

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- 5) Good Highly suitable
- 3) Fair Suitable
- 1) Poor Unlikely to be suitable
- 0) Unsafe Unsuitable
- 0) Dead Unsuitable

Score & Notes
5

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

- 5) 100+ Highly suitable
- 4) 40-100 Very suitable
- 2) 20-40 Suitable
- 1) 10-20 Just suitable
- 0) <10 Unsuitable

Score & Notes
4

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

- 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features Highly suitable
- 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public Suitable
- 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only Just suitable
- 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty Unlikely to be suitable
- 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size Probably unsuitable

Score & Notes
3

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

12

- 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
- 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion
- 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
- 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
- 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

Score & Notes
1

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note **13**

- 5) Known threat to tree
- 3) Foreseeable threat to tree
- 2) Perceived threat to tree
- 1) Precautionary only
- 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance

Score & Notes
5

Part 3: Decision guide

- Any 0 Do not apply TPO
- 1-6 TPO indefensible
- 7-10 Does not merit TPO
- 11-14 TPO defensible
- 15+ Definitely merits TPO

Add Scores for Total:	Decision:
18	TPO

TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date:	14.5.19	Surveyor:	C Dawson
-------	---------	-----------	----------

Tree details TPO Ref: Owner (if known): Location:	Tree/Group No: T2 Species: Oak Thorpe House, 149 Harwich Rd, Little Clacton II
---	--

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- | | |
|-----------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Fair | Suitable |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Unsafe | Unsuitable |
| 0) Dead | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes
5

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

- | | |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10 | Unsuitable |

Score & Notes
4

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

- | | |
|--|-------------------------|
| 5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features | Highly suitable |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only | Just suitable |
| 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes
3

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify 12

- | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|---|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Score & Notes</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">1</td> </tr> </table> | Score & Notes | 1 |
| Score & Notes | | | |
| 1 | | | |
| 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion | | | |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance | | | |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual | | | |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features | | | |

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note 13

- | | | | |
|--|---|---------------|---|
| 5) Known threat to tree | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Score & Notes</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em;">5</td> </tr> </table> | Score & Notes | 5 |
| Score & Notes | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree | | | |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree | | | |
| 1) Precautionary only | | | |
| 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance | | | |

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-6 | TPO indefensible |
| 7-10 | Does not merit TPO |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible |
| 15+ | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total:
18

Decision:
TPO